

The Big Bang did not happen. Why not?

©Nillo Gallindo – Brazil

nillo.gallindo@bol.com.br

Abstract

There are undesirable and irrefutable opposites for any scientist who tries some of the Big Bang theories. Because if God's story is foolish, the Big Bang theories have been similar to stories for foolish children. Read here. Answer this or remain in inertia.

When it was presented to the scientific community in the 1940s, the Big Bang theory said that the universe arose after an explosion caused by incalculable conditions of high temperature and pressure concentrated in a single "starting atom." This event, which occurred more than 15 billion years ago, released the energy capable of creating the first planets and stars. The model also states that the universe maintains the trend of expansion and cooling until a new Big Bang happens. But this is not the only possibility researched by scientists.



Here lives the man

BIG BANG

Illustration of the book "The Atom" by author Fritz Kahn in the 1950s - Editora Melhoramentos - São Paulo - Brazil

As science teaches:

A tiny dot called the initial atom concentrated all the energy to form the Universe. Before that atom nothing existed. There is no explanation of how that initial atom began to exist or why. Beyond that mighty atom nothing existed. But after much existence that atom exploded forming the entire expanding Universe we see today. That Big Explosion, called the Big Bang, happened about 15 billion years ago. No force at all caused that explosion. Before the Big Bang they teach only something called **singularity**.

The Big Bang Theory is an **incredible** story. What is even more incredible is Einstein's mind taking part in its creation. It would be as if we were telling a little innocent child "The cosmic egg". Please read it.

There was a world called the "nothing world", because anything there never have existed. However, there, in the "nothing world", no one can explain how, there appeared a bird egg, but there was never a bird. It is not known how long the egg existed there! Does this story remind you of any "scientific theory"? Yes. It reminds me of the "cosmic egg of the Big Bang."

One day the egg exploded and produced a large forest where the son bird could live. We know that for an egg to produce the son bird it is necessary external heat (forces of thermal energy) provided by the mother bird to hatch the egg. But there was no mother bird to hatch the egg. There was not heating outside to warm the egg. But that egg one day exploded and everything expanded into a large bird forest like that son bird that came from the egg that was not produced by a bird mother. This is the "world of nothing story" that we can also call the Big Bang story with its "cosmic egg" of the BIG "scientific" absurdity.

The **law** of inertia says:

A body only modifies its state of rest or its state of movement if there are forces acting for the change.

In the primordial or initial atom of the Big Bang where infinite density and infinite temperature were concentrated - the theory says - no external or internal forces acted to modify the infinites conditions existing in that state of singularity.

Therefore, according to the law of inertia the Big Bang did not happen because it would require different forces to change the singularity and make the explosion. If no different forces appeared, the singularity would be eternal.

If you say that the law of physical science in the Universe does not apply to the Big Bang then make a law that acted there or tell the story of the "cosmic egg" to children.

Do you want another explanation for the origin of the Universe? The Bible shows two explanations.

The simple explanation is in Genesis 1: 1. And the scientific explanation is in Isaiah 40:26.

In physics, mass-energy equivalence is the concept that any mass has an associated energy and vice versa. In special relativity, this relation is expressed by the mass-energy equivalence formula

$$E = m \cdot c^2$$

being:

E = energy,

M = mass,

C = the speed of light in the vacuum,

In this formula, by Albert Einstein, c, the value of the velocity of light in the vacuum, performs the conversion of kilograms to joules (since the mass and energy quantities are different).

The energetic content of the body is the sum of its kinetic energy with all the energies stored within it and with the energy of the radiation that can be obtained by the conversion of its material parts. The energetic (total) content of a body is calculated by equation $E = m \cdot c^2$

But we want to understand vice versa, that is, the transformation of energy into matter. Because the Bible in Isaiah 40:26 says that God being a source of energy he transformed a lot of energy into matter and thus gave rise to the Universe. Thus Isaiah 40:26:

“Lift up your eyes to heaven and see. Who has created these things? It is the One who brings out their army by number; He calls them all by name. Because of his vast dynamic energy and his awe-inspiring power, Not one of them is missing.”

In this case, as Isaiah shows the transformation of God's energy into matter to produce the Universe, the equation $E = m \cdot c^2$ would be the inverse. The result is:

$$m = E / c^2$$

By the concept of energy in relativity, energy has no beginning or end, can not be created nor destroyed, but it can be transformed. Energy is something eternal.

If the energy is eternal, of course, the source of it is also eternal. Therefore God is believed to be eternal without beginning or end, because He is the source of energy. So it could be an equation for God's eternal energy:

$$Pe1 - Re1 = TmU.c^2$$

Being:

Primary energy = 1 then, Pe1

Total Mass of the Universe = TmU,

Square of the speed of light = c²

If we know the total mass of the Universe we can calculate by Einstein's relativity:

$$Pe1 - Re1 = TmU.c^2 \quad \text{or} \quad Pe1 = TmU.c^2 + Re1$$

Re1 is the energy remaining in Pe1 after creating the Universe by transforming energy into matter.

If you like to imagine numbers then imagine something like this:

$$Pe1 - Re1 = TmU.c^2$$

- 1 - 0,9 = 0,1
- 1 - 0,99 = 0,01
- 1 - 0,999 = 0,001
- 1 - 0,9999 = 0,0001



Imagine this author being (as) the "initial atom" before the Big Bang.

If no different force acts internally or externally, the author Nillo Gallindo will remain for all eternity in this immutable position shown. This is inertia. So too, if no different force acted on the "initial atom of the Big Bang," it would remain for all eternity without "exploding." Inertia would not allow it. But if some different force acted, please tell me which one it was.